



## FEP Medical Policy Manual

### FEP 2.01.90 Navigated Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

**Effective Policy Date: October 1, 2023**

**Original Policy Date: March 2014**

**Related Policies:**

7.01.58 - Intraoperative Neurophysiologic Monitoring

## Navigated Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

### Description

#### Description

Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) is a noninvasive imaging method for evaluating eloquent brain areas (eg, those controlling motor or language function). Navigated TMS is being evaluated as an alternative to other noninvasive cortical mapping techniques for presurgical identification of eloquent areas.

#### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether presurgical navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation improves the net health outcome in individuals who have brain lesions and are about to undergo surgery that could harm eloquent areas of the brain.

## POLICY STATEMENT

Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation is considered **investigational** for all purposes, including but not limited to the preoperative evaluation of individuals being considered for brain surgery when localization of eloquent areas of the brain (eg, controlling verbal or motor function) is an important consideration in surgical planning.

## POLICY GUIDELINES

None

## BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

## FDA REGULATORY STATUS

The Nexstim Navigated Brain Stimulation System (NBS) 5 Motor Mapping System and NBS 5 Speech Mapping System with NexSpeech were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for noninvasive mapping of the primary motor cortex of the brain to its cortical gyrus and for localization of cortical areas that do not contain speech function for preprocedural planning.

## RATIONALE

### Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have brain lesion(s) undergoing preoperative evaluation for localization of eloquent areas of the brain who receive navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS), the evidence includes systematic reviews, observational studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), test accuracy, morbid events, and functional outcomes. Several studies have evaluated the distance between nTMS hotspots and direct cortical stimulation (DCS) hotspots for the same muscle. Although the average distance in most studies is 10 mm or less, this does not take into account the error margin in this average distance or whether hotspots are missed. It is difficult to verify nTMS hotspots fully because only exposed cortical areas can be verified with DCS. Limited studies of nTMS evaluating language areas have shown high false-positive rates (low specificity) and sensitivity that may be insufficient for clinical use. Several controlled observational studies have compared outcomes in patients undergoing nTMS with those (generally pre-TMS historical controls) who did not undergo nTMS. Findings of the studies were mixed. A meta-analysis of observational studies found improved outcomes with preoperative nTMS mapping in patients with motor-eloquent brain tumors. However, in individual observational studies, outcomes were not consistently better in patients who underwent presurgical nTMS. For example, OS did not differ significantly between groups in 2 studies. The controlled observational studies had various methodologic limitations and, being nonrandomized, might not have adequately controlled for differences in patient groups, which could have biased outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

No guidelines or statements were identified.

### U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

### Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

## REFERENCES

1. Giussani C, Roux FE, Ojemann J, et al. Is preoperative functional magnetic resonance imaging reliable for language areas mapping in brain tumor surgery? Review of language functional magnetic resonance imaging and direct cortical stimulation correlation studies. *Neurosurgery*. Jan 2010; 66(1): 113-20. PMID 19935438
2. Jeltema HR, Ohlerth AK, de Wit A, et al. Comparing navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation mapping and "gold standard" direct cortical stimulation mapping in neurosurgery: a systematic review. *Neurosurg Rev*. Aug 2021; 44(4): 1903-1920. PMID 33009990
3. Rizzo V, Terranova C, Conti A, et al. Preoperative functional mapping for rolandic brain tumor surgery. *Neurosci Lett*. Nov 07 2014; 583: 136-41. PMID 25224631
4. Mangraviti A, Casali C, Cordella R, et al. Practical assessment of preoperative functional mapping techniques: navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation and functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Neurol Sci*. Sep 2013; 34(9): 1551-7. PMID 23266868
5. Opitz A, Zafar N, Bockermann V, et al. Validating computationally predicted TMS stimulation areas using direct electrical stimulation in patients with brain tumors near precentral regions. *Neuroimage Clin*. 2014; 4: 500-7. PMID 24818076
6. Forster MT, Limbart M, Seifert V, et al. Test-retest reliability of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation of the motor cortex. *Neurosurgery*. Mar 2014; 10 Suppl 1: 51-5; discussion 55-6. PMID 23842557
7. Kato N, Schilt S, Schneider H, et al. Functional brain mapping of patients with arteriovenous malformations using navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation: first experience in ten patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. May 2014; 156(5): 885-95. PMID 24639144
8. Baro V, Sartori L, Caliri SL, et al. Navigated Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Motor Mapping and Diffusion Tensor Imaging Tractography for Diencephalic Tumor in Pediatric Patients. *Brain Sci*. Jan 30 2023; 13(2). PMID 36831777
9. Ille S, Kelm A, Schroeder A, et al. Navigated repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation improves the outcome of postsurgical paresis in glioma patients - A randomized, double-blinded trial. *Brain Stimul*. 2021; 14(4): 780-787. PMID 33984536
10. Weiss C, Nettekoven C, Rehme AK, et al. Mapping the hand, foot and face representations in the primary motor cortex - retest reliability of neuronavigated TMS versus functional MRI. *Neuroimage*. Feb 01 2013; 66: 531-42. PMID 23116812
11. Schmidt S, Bathe-Peters R, Fleischmann R, et al. Nonphysiological factors in navigated TMS studies; confounding covariates and valid intracortical estimates. *Hum Brain Mapp*. Jan 2015; 36(1): 40-9. PMID 25168635
12. Sollmann N, Ille S, Boeckh-Behrens T, et al. Mapping of cortical language function by functional magnetic resonance imaging and repetitive navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation in 40 healthy subjects. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. Jul 2016; 158(7): 1303-16. PMID 27138329
13. Sollmann N, Tanigawa N, Tussis L, et al. Cortical regions involved in semantic processing investigated by repetitive navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation and object naming. *Neuropsychologia*. Apr 2015; 70: 185-95. PMID 25731903
14. Schramm S, Albers L, Ille S, et al. Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation of the supplementary motor cortex disrupts fine motor skills in healthy adults. *Sci Rep*. Nov 28 2019; 9(1): 17744. PMID 31780823
15. Picht T, Schmidt S, Brandt S, et al. Preoperative functional mapping for rolandic brain tumor surgery: comparison of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation to direct cortical stimulation. *Neurosurgery*. Sep 2011; 69(3): 581-8; discussion 588. PMID 21430587
16. Forster MT, Hattingen E, Senft C, et al. Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation and functional magnetic resonance imaging: advanced adjuncts in preoperative planning for central region tumors. *Neurosurgery*. May 2011; 68(5): 1317-24; discussion 1324-5. PMID 21273929
17. Tarapore PE, Tate MC, Findlay AM, et al. Preoperative multimodal motor mapping: a comparison of magnetoencephalography imaging, navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation, and direct cortical stimulation. *J Neurosurg*. Aug 2012; 117(2): 354-62. PMID 22702484

18. Krieg SM, Shiban E, Buchmann N, et al. Utility of presurgical navigated transcranial magnetic brain stimulation for the resection of tumors in eloquent motor areas. *J Neurosurg.* May 2012; 116(5): 994-1001. PMID 22304452
19. Raffa G, Scibilia A, Conti A, et al. The role of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation for surgery of motor-eloquent brain tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* May 2019; 180: 7-17. PMID 30870762
20. Krieg SM, Sollmann N, Obermueller T, et al. Changing the clinical course of glioma patients by preoperative motor mapping with navigated transcranial magnetic brain stimulation. *BMC Cancer.* Apr 08 2015; 15: 231. PMID 25884404
21. Frey D, Schilt S, Strack V, et al. Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation improves the treatment outcome in patients with brain tumors in motor eloquent locations. *Neuro Oncol.* Oct 2014; 16(10): 1365-72. PMID 24923875
22. Hendrix P, Senger S, Simgen A, et al. Preoperative rTMS Language Mapping in Speech-Eloquent Brain Lesions Resected Under General Anesthesia: A Pair-Matched Cohort Study. *World Neurosurg.* Apr 2017; 100: 425-433. PMID 28109861
23. Schiller K, Choudhri AF, Jones T, et al. Concordance Between Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Derived Localization of Language in a Clinical Cohort. *J Child Neurol.* May 2020; 35(6): 363-379. PMID 32122221
24. Picht T, Schulz J, Hanna M, et al. Assessment of the influence of navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation on surgical planning for tumors in or near the motor cortex. *Neurosurgery.* May 2012; 70(5): 1248-56; discussion 1256-7. PMID 22127045

## POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
March 2014	New policy	Navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation is considered investigational for all purposes.
March 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through November 20, 2014; references 4, 6-11 and 18-23 were added. Policy title changed, acronym was deleted. Magnetoencephalography was added to the background information. There was no change to the policy statement
December 2016	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; references 10-11, 21, 23, and 25 added. Policy statement unchanged.
September 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 9, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged except "not medically necessary, corrected to "investigational, due to FDA 510(k) clearance.
September 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through May 17, 2019, no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
September 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through May 27, 2020; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
September 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 15, 2021; references added. Policy statement unchanged.
September 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 25, 2022; no references added. Policy statement terminology changed from "patients" to "individuals"; intent unchanged.
September 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through May 2, 2023; Nonsubscriber submissions reviewed; references added. Policy statement unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.